

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,782	09/853,782 05/14/2001		Ikuya Morikawa	1081.1118	7025
21171	7590	05/23/2006	•	EXAMINER	
STAAS & F SUITE 700	HALSE	Y LLP	BATES, KEVIN T		
	ORK A	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2155	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/853,782	MORIKAWA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kevin Bates	2155					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	pril 2006.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
•=	<i>,</i> —							
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🖾)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	` ' '						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on April 7, 2006.

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gai (6167445) in view of Prager (5838918).

Regarding claim 1, Gai teaches a communication setting management system for distributing communication characteristics setting to a plurality of communication entities (Column 5, line 65 – Column 6, line 2), comprising:

a setting template entry/edit <u>unit operated by a administrator to</u> enter or edit a setting template that collects contents to be set for the communication entities (Column 12, lines 21 – 30; Column 12, lines 6 – 9), with reference to information on a concrete method of setting the communication entities;

a setting template storing <u>unit</u> storing each setting template entered or edited by the setting template entry/edit <u>unit as operated by the administrator</u> (Column 8, lines 1 – 5);

an application rule entry/edit <u>unit as operated by a administrator to enter or edit</u> application rules prescribing rules of <u>corresponding to the</u> setting template, <u>entered or edited in the setting template entry/edit unit operated by the first administrator, which</u> is to be applied to a communication having a specific attribute (Column 12, lines 21 – 24); an application rule storing <u>unit</u> storing each application rule entered or edited by

an application rule storing <u>unit</u> storing each application rule entered or edited by entry/edit means; and

retrieval and response unit selecting an application rule from the application rule storing means in accordance with an attribute of a corresponding destination communication entity (Column 12, lines 21 – 24), reading from the setting template storing means a setting template having a setting template name specified by the selected application rule, and distributing the read setting template to the corresponding destination communication entity (Column 6, lines 10 – 26; Column 13, line 63 – Column 14, line 4).

Gai does not explicitly indicate more than one administrator being able to perform separate functions.

Prager teaches a system for making templates to implement policies in a system (Column 5, lines 8 – 19). As part of Prager's system, he discloses that there are some more important global actions that only higher level authority administrators can perform, in comparison to lower level actions in which lower level authority administrators can perform (Column 11, lines 34 – 42; Column 13, lines 26 – 44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Prager's teaching of having higher and lower authority

Art Unit: 2155

actions in a template system to ensure only administrators with the proper high level authority are able to perform actions of more global performance and impact to protect the system from mistakes by lower level administrators.

Regarding claim 2, Gai teaches the communication setting management system according to claim 1, further comprising: setting template collective entering means for collectively entering a group of previously defined setting templates into the setting template storing means (Column 13, line 63 – Column 14, line 4).

Regarding claim 3, Gai teaches the communication setting management system according to claim 1, further comprising:

a plurality of management domains each having at least one communication entity (Column 6, lines 2-7), the management domains communicating via a network, and a communication setting management apparatus is disposed in each of the plurality of management domains (Column 10, lines 44-47); and

a corresponding management domain imparting on a management domain basis, a different communication characteristics settings to respective communications between communication entities belonging to different management domains, each communication characteristics setting being managed on a corresponding management domain basis (Column 10, lines 38 – 47).

Regarding claim 5, Gai teaches the communication setting management system according to claim 3, further comprising:

a contradiction detection function unit for mutually interchanging information with a communication setting management apparatuses disposed in respective, different

Application/Control Number: 09/853,782

Art Unit: 2155

management domains, and detecting a contradiction between the information and the setting template and the application rule of its own management domain (Column 17, lines 33 – 44).

Regarding claim 6, Gai teaches the communication setting management system according to claim 5, further comprising:

a contradiction modification function unit for modifying a contradiction at the tine when the contradiction is detected that a setting template or setting templates specified by the contradiction detection function unit according to the application rule to be the same, instead are not (Column 18, lines 32 – 35, where the policy translator handles conflicting roles and rules through priority).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 7, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, the applicant argues that the reference, Prager, does not teach how a first administrator creates a setting template and a second creates the application rule. The examiner disagrees, as seen in Column 13, lines 26 – 44; Prager discloses the roles of a central and local administrator. Included in this disclosure is the idea that the central administrators are responsible for central configuration database and template models (Column 13, lines 32 – 35), while the local administrator works with templates to create particular records (Column 13, lines 40 – 44) and attributes for a subset of subscribing systems (Column 13, lines 35 – 39). So seen in the reference, Prager, it is taught that the central and local administrators work together on the same

Application/Control Number: 09/853,782 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

templates to go for a gobal model to a local particular attributes, as disclosed in the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

KB May 18, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER